Lead concentrations in bones and feathers of the globally threatened Spanish imperial eagle
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Abstract

Raptors that consume game species may ingest lead fragments or shot embedded in their prey’s flesh. Threatened Spanish imperial eagles Aquila adalberti feed on greylag geese in southern Spain in winter, and often ingest lead shot. We analysed bone and feather samples from 65 Spanish imperial eagle museum specimens collected between 1980 and 1999, to investigate the prevalence of elevated lead concentrations. Four of 34 birds (12%) had very elevated bone lead concentrations. All four birds were young and the concentrations were outliers to the distribution, suggesting probable exposure to lead gunshot. Excluding these elevated lead outliers, bone lead concentrations were correlated with the bird’s age at death. Three of 41 feathers (7%) had elevated lead concentrations, indicative of high exposure during feather formation. When these outliers were omitted, feather lead concentration was correlated with the age of museum specimens, suggesting that a high proportion of feather lead was exogenous, deposited after specimen collection. Therefore, careful interpretation of feather lead concentrations is required to separate endogenous and exogenous lead. We discuss the potential significance of lead poisoning in Spanish imperial eagles and other raptors, and recommend measures for its reduction.
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1. Introduction

Lead poisoning in waterfowl, through the ingestion of spent lead gunshot, has been well documented world-wide (Bellrose, 1959; Pain, 1995, 1996). In the USA, before a nationwide ban on the use of lead shot for waterfowl hunting (since the 1991/1992 season) lead poisoning resulted in the deaths of an estimated 1.6–2.4 million waterfowl annually (Bellrose, 1959; USFWS, 1986).

Lead also affects many non-waterfowl species (Franson, 1996), a key group being Falconiformes. Lead poisoning in raptors affects both predators and scavengers, and the literature suggests that this almost always results from the ingestion of shot or bullet fragments along with flesh or body parts of game species (Clark and Scheuhammer, 2003). Many heavily hunted gamebirds, especially those of larger body size such as ducks or geese, are not killed outright by hunters, and carry spent shotgun pellets in their flesh (e.g., Scheuhammer and Norris, 1996). For example, several hundred bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) have died from lead poisoning following shot ingestion (Franson, 1996), as well as several globally threatened species including the Californian condor Gymnogyps californianus in the USA (Wiemeyer...
et al., 1986, 1988), due to ingestion of bullet fragments whilst scavenging. Less is known of the incidence of lead poisoning in free-ranging raptors in Europe and Asia, although incidents of both shot ingestion, and subsequent lead poisoning, have been recorded in many species (Table 1).

Of European raptors subject to lead poisoning, the globally threatened Spanish imperial eagle *Aquila adalberti* (*BirdLife International, 2000*) is of greatest concern. The endemic Spanish imperial eagle is the most threatened bird of prey in Europe and one of the rarest raptors in the world (*Ferrer, 2001*), with a total population estimated at little more than 140 pairs (*Ferrer, 2001*). It has a low reproductive rate (0.75 chicks per pair per year), an immaturity period of 4–5 years and an estimated longevity of 21–22 years maximum (*Ferrer and Calderón, 1990*). The population of Doñana National Park, southern Spain, remained stable during the period 1976–1992, with 15–16 pairs, subsequently declining to only seven pairs in 2002. Since 1991, more than 50% of mortality in birds from breeding pairs has certainly show exposure to lead, not all exposure necessarily results in lead poisoning, and it is uncertain to what degree the eagles are currently suffering, and have historically suffered, from lead poisoning from gunshot ingestion.

Following absorption, lead is deposited in a range of tissues, primarily liver, kidney and bone. Whilst lead in liver and kidney has a turnover rate of weeks to months, lead is retained in bone for years, thus reflecting both acute and lifetime chronic exposure from all sources (*Harrison and Laxen, 1981*). Lead in bone has been physiologically incorporated over the lifetime of the bird, whereas feather lead comprises both that physiologically incorporated at the time of feather formation, and lead deposited on the feather surface between formation and moult or sampling (usually one to several years in the wild – longer with museum specimens). This makes physiologically incorporated or ‘endogenous’ lead difficult to identify in feathers (*Dauwe et al., 2003*), thus may limit their utility for monitoring lead absorption. However, feather sampling is simple and non-invasive, and could potentially be of use for future studies. Consequently, we compared lead concentrations in ten feather and bone samples to investigate both cor-

Table 1  
Lead poisoning in free-ranging raptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Threat status</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Steller’s sea eagle, Haliaeetus pelagicus’</td>
<td>Globally threatened ‘Vulnerable’</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Kim et al. (1999); Kurosawa (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘White-tailed eagle, Haliaeetus albicilla’</td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>Japan, Germany</td>
<td>Kim et al. (1999); Kurosawa (2000); Kenntner et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Californian condor, Gymnogyps californianus’</td>
<td>Globally threatened ‘Critical’</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Wiemeyer et al. (1986, 1988).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald eagle, <em>Haliaeetus leucocephelus</em></td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>USA and Canada</td>
<td>Miller et al. (2001); Wayland et al. (2003); Pattee and Hennes (1983).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden eagle, <em>Aquila chrysaetus</em></td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>Canada, USA</td>
<td>Wayland et al. (2003); Bloom et al. (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh harrier, <em>Circus aeruginosus</em></td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>France, Spain</td>
<td>Pain et al. (1993, 1997); Mateo et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-tailed hawks, <em>Buteo jamaicensis</em></td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Franson et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie falcon, <em>Falco mexicanus</em></td>
<td>Near threatened</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Benson et al. (1974)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All species recorded have been diagnosed as lead-poisoned or as having tissue concentrations consistent with lead poisoning. When more than one reference is given for a species, some of these may refer to the presence of shot in regurgitated pellets. All records refer to lead shot ingestion except where starred ‘*, when lead bullet fragments were involved.
relations in Pb concentrations, and the proportion of samples showing elevated lead levels.

In this paper, we analyse lead concentrations in bones and feathers of Spanish imperial eagles collected between 1980 and 1999 and evaluate the extent to which this species may be subject to lead poisoning.

2. Methods

2.1. Bone sampling

Bone samples of approximately 2–4 g were extracted from the femurs of each of 34 Spanish imperial eagles from the scientific collection of Doñana Biological Station (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas). Birds were from Doñana (n = 24), Malaga (n = 1), Cadiz (n = 4), Badajoz (n = 1) Ciudad Real (n = 1), and unknown origins (n = 3). All eagles died between 1980 and 1999 and dates of death were recorded. Exact ages were known for 24 birds, and ranged from chicks to an individual of 18 years old. Sex was recorded for 14 birds (10 females and 4 males), but only nine females and one male for which exact ages were known. Cause of death was known for 23 or 68% of birds; electrocution (41%), illegal shooting (6%), illegal poisoning (15%), starvation (6%), unknown/unrecorded (32%).

2.2. Feather sampling

Samples of under-tail coverts were taken from 41 birds collected between 1940 and 1999. Samples were from Doñana (34), Cadiz (4), Sierra de Gredos (1), Seville (1) and Caceres (1). Exact ages were known for 17 of the birds.

Only 10 birds were available for sampling of both bone and feather (five females, five of unknown sex, collected from 1980 to 1999 ranging from pulli to 18 years old).

2.3. Analytical methods

Bones were dried to a constant weight. For Pb analysis, 0.2–0.3 g of the diaphysis without bone marrow was weighed and digested with 2.5 ml of 70% nitric acid at room temperature for 12 h. Two and a half milliliters of 30% hydrogen peroxide were then added and the temperature was gradually increased up to 160 °C in 1 h and then held at that temperature for 4 h. The digested samples were then diluted to a final volume of 10 ml with deionized water and analyzed by graphite furnace-atomic absorption spectrometry on a Perkin–Elmer model 3300.

The detection limit was 0.141 µg/g dry weight (d.w.) (Pb in the bone/feather – rather than in the solution) per sample. Bone standard recovery was 103.2% (SD 12.32), (using bone meal standard SRM1486, Promochem Ltd., Herts, UK) and spike recovery was 87.99% (SD 16.08) (using certified 1000 µg/g standard solution).

Feathers were washed in running deionised water and then air dried prior to analysis. Bone/feather samples were weighed to an accuracy of 0.001 g into acid cleaned digest tubes. Digest solutions were stored in refrigerated conditions until analysis.

Statistical tests were carried out using Minitab 13 statistical software.

3. Results

The distribution of bone lead concentrations (PbB) is given in Fig. 1(a). The majority of birds (n = 30) had concentrations of well below 30 µg/g d.w. Four birds had PbB concentrations > 50 µg/g (Table 2), and had
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Table 2

<p>| Elevated bone lead concentrations in Spanish imperial eagles |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Bone lead µg/g d.w.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three years</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>51.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Donana</td>
<td>Electrocuted</td>
<td>56.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chick</td>
<td>Donana</td>
<td>Shot</td>
<td>114.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Malaga</td>
<td>Shot</td>
<td>155.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Distribution of lead concentrations in Spanish imperial eagle femurs (a) n = 34 and feathers (b) n = 41.
been exposed to very high lead concentrations. These birds were collected in 1980 \((n = 1)\), 1983 \((n = 2)\) and 1990 \((n = 1)\). Bone lead concentrations did not follow a normal distribution, even when the outliers >50 \(\mu g/g\) were excluded \((Anderson \text{ Darling } A^2 = 1.820, p < 0.001)\). Feather Pb concentrations are given in Fig. 1(b). No birds had feather Pb concentrations >50 \(\mu g/g\), but three had concentrations of 30–50 \(\mu g/g\). Feather lead distribution did not follow a normal distribution unless the three high outliers are excluded, when distribution was not significantly different from normal \((Anderson \text{ Darling } A^2 = 0.684, p = 0.07)\).

To investigate the relationships between sample lead concentrations, bird age at death, and museum specimen age, we examined lead concentrations both with, and without obvious outliers to the distributions, as we suspected that the source of lead contributing to outliers may be different to that to which the majority of the population is exposed.

When all data were considered, significant correlations were found between bone lead and bird age at death, and feather lead and the length of time the specimen had been in the museum (specimen age- Table 3). The significance of these relationships increased on excluding outliers to the distributions (Table 3, Figs. 2 and 3).

Birds less than one year old \((n = 10, \text{median PbB } 2.26)\) had significantly lower bone lead concentrations than those of one year or older \((n = 13, \text{median PbB } 8.99, \text{Mann–Whitney, } U = 76.0, p = 0.007 \text{ adjusted for ties})\).

For the ten birds where both bone and feather samples were analysed PbB concentrations ranged from 1.41 to 114.64 \(\mu g/g\) (with two greater then 50 \(\mu g/g\)) and feather lead from 1.05–14.28 \(\mu g/g\). Bone and feather lead concentrations were not correlated \((\text{Pearson correlation coefficient } = 0.341, P_z\text{-value } = 0.334, n = 10)\).

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Lead in bones

Bone lead has a long half-life \((600–3000 \text{ days in human bone, Harrison and Laxen, } 1981)\); consequently a relationship between age and bone lead is expected, excepting cases of acute exposure (Fig. 2). Other authors have similarly found bone lead concentrations to be positively correlated with age in waterfowl populations (Stendell et al., 1979; Clausen et al., 1982). However, bone lead concentrations may be difficult to interpret in individual birds. Although lead has a high affinity for bone, birds acutely exposed to lead may die with very elevated soft tissue concentrations, but before much lead has been deposited in bone. For example, Pattee et al. (1981) reported that bald eagles \(H. \text{leucocephalus}\) experimentally exposed to lead shot, died with mean lead residues of 17 \(\mu g/g\) in the liver, but only 10 \(\mu g/g\) in bone. Conversely, birds may accumulate a certain amount of lead in the bone over their lifetimes from chronic and non-lethal acute exposure, with few signs of toxicity. The genus, behaviour and environment of birds must also be taken into account when interpreting PbB; free-living birds of prey generally have lower PbB concentrations than waterfowl (e.g., see Clark and Scheuhammer, 2003).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bone lead</th>
<th>Excluding outliers</th>
<th>Feather lead</th>
<th>Excluding outliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bird age at death</td>
<td>(R = 0.507, p = 0.007, n = 27)</td>
<td>(R = 0.666, p = 0.001, n = 23)</td>
<td>(R = 0.269, p = 0.280, n = 18)</td>
<td>(R = 0.269, p = 0.280, n = 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum specimen age</td>
<td>(R = 0.096, p = 0.628, n = 28)</td>
<td>(R = -0.114, p = 0.596, n = 24)</td>
<td>(R = 0.412, p = 0.008, n = 40)</td>
<td>(R = 0.464, p = 0.004, n = 37)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman rank correlation. Outliers excluded were >50 \(\mu g/g\) bone lead, >50 \(\mu g/g\) feather lead (Figs. 1(a) and (b)).
In waterfowl, bone lead concentrations of >20 μg/g are considered to be associated with excessive exposure to and absorption of Pb; birds that have died of lead poisoning often have bone lead concentrations in excess of 20–30 μg/g (Pain, 1996; Franson, 1996). Having data for a number of birds from a population helps in PbB interpretation, as we can examine the PbB distribution and identify outliers that may suggest a different source of exposure. Our results from Spanish imperial eagles suggest that the four birds with PbB of 51–155 μg/g had been exposed to very high lead concentrations (Fig. 1). As all of these birds were young (a chick, two juveniles and a three year old), this results from acute rather than chronic exposure. Young Spanish imperial eagles tend to have PbB of at least an order of magnitude lower than these four birds (Fig. 2; 2.26 μg/g for birds <1 year old). We consider the obvious source of Pb exposure for the 4 contaminated birds to be the ingestion of lead gunshot in their prey. The two highest bone lead concentrations were from shot birds. However, it is highly improbable that bone samples would have been contaminated with lead fragments from shot-in gunshot as bone samples were extracted from the femurs, bones were cleaned of all soft tissue when the museum specimens were prepared, and soft lead fragments will not have penetrated bone samples.

Hundreds of thousands of waterfowl winter in Doñana annually (Hiraldo et al., 1991), including greylag geese Anser anser (Persson, 1993), which are heavily hunted as they move between their northern European breeding grounds and wintering grounds in Southern Spain. For example, in the winter of 1983/84 ca. 120,000 greylag geese wintered in southern Spain; over the same period an estimated 16,000 birds were shot in France, largely during spring migration (Yé sou, 1987; Persson, 1999). Not all shot birds die, e.g. in the USA an estimated 15% to 25% of shot birds may be injured but escape, or killed but unretrieved (Morehouse, 1999). In free-living waterfowl the prevalence of embedded shot can range from 10 to 68% (Scheuhammer and Norris, 1996) and Calderón et al. (1996) found that 66% of greylag geese found dead in Doñana had embedded shot in their flesh. In winter, the diet of Spanish imperial eagles largely consists of waterfowl (about 47%, Mateo et al., 2001), mainly greylag geese (González, 1991; Ferrer, 2001), including scavenged dead birds (Hiraldo et al., 1991). Mateo et al. (2001) recorded lead shot in 11% of Spanish imperial eagle regurgitated pellets from Doñana, confirming exposure to lead, and Hernández (1995) reported an obvious clinical case of lead poisoning in a Spanish imperial eagle. All birds that ingest lead shot will absorb some lead before regurgitation, and whilst shot ingestion will not always result in lead poisoning, results from studies on a wide range of raptors indicate that a proportion of birds ingesting shot will develop lead poisoning (Table 1). This proportion may vary according to the retention time of shot before regurgitation, the frequency of exposure, and perhaps other, dietary or metabolic, factors. Pain et al. (1993) found that in the marsh harrier Circus aeruginossus in western France, 12% of 214 regurgitated pellets contained at least one lead shot, whilst >30% of 31 birds had elevated (>30 μg/dl) blood lead concentrations, 9% of which were very elevated (>60 μg/dl) and indicative of lead poisoning. We do not know if similar relationships hold for other species, but this gives an indication of the potential for lead poisoning in the Spanish imperial eagle.

Our results show that 12% of the Spanish imperial eagles sampled over a 20-year period had absorbed very elevated lead concentrations, most likely due to ingesting lead shot. This does not reflect the proportion of the population that dies of lead poisoning, as our sample was of birds that had died from a variety of known and unknown causes. Of the birds with elevated bone lead (Table 2), Pb is unlikely to have been a contributory factor in the mortality of the electrocuted bird, but could have been the cause of death of the individual with no known cause of mortality. Of the two birds shot, bone lead concentrations were so elevated that the chick will have been acutely exposed and the juvenile was probably acutely exposed; both may have been suffering from lead poisoning when shot. Pb could have contributed directly to the mortality of the shot juvenile, as poisoned birds are weak and thus may make easier targets.

4.2. Lead in feathers

Lead in feathers has two origins. Endogenously incorporated Pb reflects that in blood at the time of feather formation (Burger, 1993; Denneman and Douben, 1993), thus could provide an indicator of Pb contamination over a relatively short period. Exogenous Pb, deposited from the atmosphere on the feather between formation and sampling or moult, can provide an indicator of local atmospheric contamination for sedentary species (Dauwe et al., 2002). In addition, contaminants can be deposited on feathers during preening (Goede and de Bruin, 1984). Discrimination between endogenous and exogenous Pb usually involves cleaning, and methods have variable success (Niecke et al., 1999; Burger, 1994). The correlation that we found between feather lead concentrations and the age of specimens (after omission of high outliers) suggests that much of the lead is exogenous in origin, having been deposited from the atmosphere following specimen collection. As with other studies, this suggests that feathers could be used to indicate local atmospheric contamination (Burger, 1993; Dauwe et al., 2002). In our samples, it was relatively easy to separate outliers, presumably due to high endogenous incorporation of lead following acute exposure, probably from shot ingestion.
Lead appears in bones rapidly following exposure and absorption (Sanderson and Bellrose, 1986), and is retained long-term (Harrison and Laxen, 1981); PbB concentrations reflect acute and chronic exposure. It is likely, therefore, that a higher proportion of birds will have elevated bone than feather lead, and that the two will only be correlated in individuals if acute exposure events occur during feather formation. The relationship between feather and bone lead is further complicated when museum specimens of varying age are used, as bone lead remain stable, but feather lead increases over time in museum specimens. Our findings show seven percent of feathers, and 12% of bone samples to be high outliers to the lead distributions. Two of ten bone samples had PbB concentrations >50 μg/g, but neither of these individuals had feather Pb > 15 μg/g, suggesting that elevated exposure to lead did not take place during feather formation. We suggest that feather lead is less useful than bone lead as a measure of lead absorption in birds, both because feather lead originates from blood lead over the relatively short time period of feather formation, and because of the need to separate lead of endogenous and exogenous origins. However, if exogenously deposited lead can be reliably removed, the utility of feathers will be improved.

4.3. Conservation measures

Lead poisoning in raptors is not new – there has been evidence of lead poisoning mortality in bald eagles from gunshot ingestion, and Californian condors from the ingestion of bullet fragments, for decades in the USA (Pattee and Hennes, 1983; Wiemeyer et al., 1986, 1988). However, as more research has been conducted, an increasing body of evidence of lead poisoning in other species has accumulated. The victims include several threatened species, within and outside Europe (Table 1). Unlike the Californian condor, lead poisoning is not the major threat to the Spanish imperial eagle population. However, it does present an unnecessary threat to adult birds, and in this small, globally threatened and declining population action should be taken to avoid any preventable additional mortality.

This problem has a technically, if not politically, simple solution – the use of alternative non-toxic materials to replace lead. As of 2000, twenty countries have had total or partial statutory bans on the use of lead gunshot for waterfowl hunting (Beintema, 2000); steel shot is widely used in the USA and other countries, and other alternatives are commercially available (e.g., bismuth and tin; Beintema, 2000).

A variety of Conventions, Directives and Agreements address the lead poisoning issue directly or indirectly, including the 79/409/EEC Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds, the RAMSAR Convention on Wetlands of International Importance the African eurasian migratory waterfowl agreement (AEWA), under the Bonn Convention. However, these deal the use of lead shot for either the hunting of waterfowl, or hunting over wetlands. Whilst a Europe-wide ban on such uses would certainly reduce the lead poisoning risk to Spanish imperial eagles and other raptors, it would not eliminate it. Greylag geese use a variety of habitats including both wetlands and agricultural land, and many raptors may be exposed to shot in a variety of non-waterfowl game, from rabbits to pigeons (Table 1).

Spain itself has a partial ban on the use of lead, but this will not stop predatory and scavenging raptors from dying of lead poisoning following the ingestion of migratory species, carrying shot from elsewhere. As recommended in Beintema (2000), countries should be encouraged to sign relevant international biodiversity conventions and agreements, and, once signed, should be held responsible for their action regarding issues addressed by the conventions. Even in countries with statutory bans on the use of lead enforcement is often lacking, and is urgently required. There is also a need for increased awareness of the problem, both for waterfowl and other avian taxa, especially threatened species. This, along with improved communication and cooperation between legislative authorities and hunting organisations is necessary before an effective ban on the use of lead shot is likely.

The more scientific research that is conducted, the more species are found to either suffer lead poisoning, or be at risk of lead poisoning, through lead shot ingestion. This includes a number of threatened and declining species, such as the Spanish imperial eagle (Mateo et al., 2001; Hernández, 1995; this study), whose already declining populations could be further impacted by lead poisoning. The only way to eliminate this source of mortality is to ban all hunting with lead, not simply waterfowl hunting.
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